EXHIBIT A

D7HBLICC UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ----X WARREN LICHTENSTEIN, Plaintiff, v. 13 CV 02690 (LAK) ANDREW CADER, Defendant. New York, N.Y. July 17, 2013 9:36 a.m. Before: HON. JAMES L. COTT, Magistrate Judge APPEARANCES ARKIN SOLBAKKEN LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff STANLEY S. ARKIN ALEX REISEN BLANK ROME LLP Attorneys for Defendant SETH J. LAPIDOW MICHAEL A. ROWE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

2 D7HBLICC 1 (In open court) 2 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Lichtenstein v. Cader. Counsel, 3 state your name for the record. 4 MR. ARKIN: Stanley S. Arkin and Alex Reisen of Arkin 5 Solbakken on behalf of Mr. Lichtenstein. 6 MR. LAPIDOW: Seth Lapidow and Michael Rowe Blank Rome 7 on behalf of Mr. Cader. 8 THE COURT: Good morning, everybody. 9 MR. LAPIDOW: Good morning, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: I like seeing you all sitting at one table together. That's very symbolic. Unfortunately, it's not as 11 12 symbolic as perhaps I would like. 13 Mr. Arkin, let me ask you first, has there, in fact, 14 been a decision by the Hong Kong court? 15 MR. ARKIN: There is a decision by the Hong Kong 16 I have a copy of it. I received it subject to a 17 privilege from the Hong Kong lawyer. 18 THE COURT: What does that mean exactly? 19 MR. ARKIN: That's a very good question. It's a 20 really good question because they have an extremely strict, 21 rigorous 18th Century perspective of such things. And one of 22 the things I don't want to do, since I've been unappealing to you in this court, together with my friend, is be unappealing 23 24 to the Hong Kong court. And I have the thing -- I will make 25 inquiry as to whether I can produce it to Mr. Lapidow, who SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D7HBLICC

may -- Mr. Lapidow's letter suggests that he has knowledge of its findings or its holdings. And I will seek to see if I could get the document released. I've asked my consulate or my friend in Hong Kong to see if that was possible.

THE COURT: Is it a law or practice in that court that when decisions are issued by judicial bodies, that they are in the first instance not made public?

MR. ARKIN: This is not public. I'm told it's privileged because it may be a domestics relations matter. And I have not delved deeply into the intricacies of their privilege customs and usages. I can tell you that they are different than ours albeit they're kind of a common law jurisdiction.

I will seek to have Colin Cohen, who is the solicitor in Hong Kong, see if he can get permission to provide a copy to your Honor. I have it in my possession but I am under, again, a privilege. By the way, I have nothing to hide in the decision. There's nothing about it --

THE COURT: Well, I guess what I'm not understanding is why is it that you can have a copy of it, but I can't or opposing counsel can't?

MR. ARKIN: That is a fair question and I have no answer to it other than Mr. Cohen, Solicitor Cohen, gave it to me with specific instructions that it was completely privileged and I must not in any way distribute it or give it to anyone.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

D7HBLICC
And I didn't question him, but I will now.

THE COURT: Well, this is one of the reasons I didn't want to adjourn today's proceeding because I wanted, among other things, to understand what the state of play was, so to speak --

MR. ARKIN: Well, I can tell you that.

THE COURT: -- with respect to this decision. And I--MR. ARKIN: Well, I can tell you the state of play.

In my words, in my interpretation -- and I hope to make it less unappealing than my last appearance -- the Court awarded Ms. Bond the equivalent of 38 or 39 thousand dollars a month and he awarded her, as well, the payments of tuitions and medical and a driver and a variety of other emoluments which go along with being the mother of the child Isabella.

And the Court went on to say that my client's finances -- albeit we thought they were carefully and fully explained. He wasn't able to appear in Hong Kong during the proceeding. She spends, in her opinion, a good deal of time justifying the absence of any depth of understanding of the economics. She relies upon the English opinion, the UK opinion, which appear before they shifted jurisdictions.

But getting to Mr. Cader, which is that she first mentions our lawsuit and then, in a later part of the opinion, she says that there's no question-- or not no question. She says Mr. Cader's money, the money he gave to Annabelle Bond, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

D7HBLICC

1 2

THE COURT: If you want to make an application to reconsider what I'm saying, as you did the last time, I'll hear you, but let me do what I want to do first.

MR. ARKIN: Surely. I'll sit down then.

THE COURT: Mr. Lapidow, you made your motion in May. Mr. Arkin has since filed an amended complaint and the Hong Kong Court has now rendered a decision.

Does that mean that you want to revise, modify, supplement, amend your motion papers in some way?

 $\,$ MR. LAPIDOW: I do, and I would really like to see the Hong Kong decision before I renew my application because it may very well have relevance.

THE COURT: I hear you on that and we can build in a schedule which I hope will allow for you to do so. Because I think another order, to the extent I have any authority to do so, is to direct Mr. Arkin to make best efforts to get a copy of that decision to you and to me. And if it means making an application that it be maintained under seal in this Court until such time as it is made public in Hong Kong, then so be it. But it seems to me that it would be relevant to have that decision before the Court in any event.

MR. ARKIN: I'll do it.

MR. LAPIDOW: I was going to suggest, your Honor, that we would be perfectly willing to file whatever papers we file under seal with the Court if that solves any -- or enter into a SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

D7HBLICC

protective order. I'm willing to do whatever needs to be done. We certainly are happy to do whatever to keep it as private as possible.

THE COURT: Well, I obviously don't have authority to direct the Hong Kong Court to do anything with its opinion. It is going to do whatever it's going to do consistent with Hong Kong law.

But, Mr. Arkin, if you can have Mr. Lichtenstein's counsel in the Hong Kong proceeding make whatever application is necessary to make the Hong Kong decision available to this Court and to Mr. Lapidow as part of these proceedings. Whether it needs to be submitted pursuant to a protective order and maintained under seal, if that's necessary, obviously I'll sign such order that the parties can submit or you can stipulate to it or whatever.

So I know you want to speak to larger things, but let's just --

 $$\operatorname{MR}$. ARKIN: No, to that particular thing absolutely I will make that address or request this morning as we leave your court.$

THE COURT: So why don't we say this, because I think this is probably realistic. It's July 17th now. Why don't we say that you'll renew your motion papers 30 days from now.

Is that sufficient or do you need more time?
MR. LAPIDOW: I was going to suggest two weeks from
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300